UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

JOHN BLACK,)	
Plaintiff,)))	No. 3:14-mc-00698 Judge Campbell
v.)	
ADAM READ, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	ORDER	

The plaintiff, an inmate at the West Tennessee State Penitentiary (WTSP) in Henning, Tennessee, has filed a *pro se* complaint alleging civil rights violations under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Docket No. 1). The plaintiff has not paid the required civil filing fee. Neither has he submitted an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* in lieu thereof. However, the plaintiff states that he wishes to proceed as a pauper in this matter. (Docket No. 1, Attach. 1). The plaintiff claims that, due to a lock-down at the WTSP, he has not been able to obtain and complete the necessary forms. (*Id.*)

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to send the plaintiff a blank application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and a copy of Administrative Order No. 93. The plaintiff, in turn, is directed to do one of the following within thirty (30) days of the date that he receives this order: (1) **either** pay the full civil filing fee; (2) **or** complete the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* provided in accordance with Administrative Order No. 93 and return the properly completed application to the district court with a certified copy of his inmate trust fund account statement for the 6-month period immediately preceding the date of the entry of this order, as required under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).

The plaintiff is forewarned that if he does not comply with this order within the 30-day time

frame specified, the court is required under the law to presume that he is not a pauper, assess the full

amount of the filing fee, and dismiss the action for want of prosecution. McGore v. Wrigglesworth,

114 F.3d 601, 605 (6th Cir. 1997), overruled on other grounds by Jones v. Bock, 549 U.S. 199

(2007). If the plaintiff's case is dismissed under these circumstances, it will not be reinstated to the

court's active docket despite subsequent payment of the filing fee or correction of any documentary

deficiency. Id.

An extension of time to comply with this order may be requested from this court if a motion

for an extension of time is filed within thirty (30) days of the date of entry of this order. Floyd v.

United States Postal Service, 105 F.3d 274, 279 (6th Cir. 1997), superseded on other grounds by

Rule 24, Fed. R. App. P.

It is so **ORDERED**.

Todd J. Campbell

United States District Judge

2